Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 1280 Case file # 95--HQ-1043498.

Material Examiner:

Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

Case resulted in trial, transcript not available.

## INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fibers Review commenced at: 3:45 PM 11/06/01 (Time), (Date) 95A-HQ-1043498 File #: Laboratory #(s): -30111037 30115001 Examiner(s) & Symbols Not Reviewed Not Reviewed Reviewed Reviewed RQ $X\Box$ YT Xα Materials Reviewed Trial testimony transcript(s) of: not available Testimony Date(s): Pages: Laboratory Report(s): Laboratory Number: 30111037, 30115001 May 27, 1993 Date: Laboratory Number: Date: Laboratory Number: Date: RQ and unknown technicians Examiner Bench Notes of: Laboratory Number: 30111037 30115001 Page CRM - 13305 Initials:

		_	
If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: submitt	ting agency lette	rs dated	1-6-93 and 1-14-93
Results of Re	eview		
File #: 95A-HQ-1043498 Item or Specimen #	Reviewed:	Q1-Q21, I	K1 ,
Review of Laboratory Report(	(s) and Bench	Notes:	
Note: Numbered comments are re additional pages for any "No" or "Unabl	<b>-</b>		ıses
Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory re	t the time of the No X U	e origina nable to I ed and ad	l examination(s)? Determine
Review of Testi	mony:	······································	
Note: Numbered comments are re	<del>-</del>		
additional pages for any "No" or "Unabl	le to Determine		nses
	le to Determine	•	nses
	le to Determine	□ No	
☐ Transcript not available.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. No	□ Unable to Determine
☐ Transcript not available.  Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?	□ Yes		☐ Unable to Determine ☐ Unable to Determine
Transcript not available.  Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?  Testimony consistent with the bench notes?	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Unable to Determine ☐ Unable to Determine
Transcript not available.  Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?  Testimony consistent with the bench notes?	□ Yes	□ No	□ Unable to Determine □ Unable to Determine □ Unable to Determine
Transcript not available.  Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?  Testimony consistent with the bench notes?  Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise?	□ Yes □ Yes	□ No	☐ Unable to Determine ☐ Unable to Determine
Transcript not available.  Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?  Testimony consistent with the bench notes?	□ Yes □ Yes	□ No	☐ Unable to Determine ☐ Unable to Determine

.

.

## Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

	43498  ir comparison, one cannot determine from the notes that the	avanination was sandusts
		examination was conducted
n an appropriate manner	•	
#2: Documentation is po	or. The notes are not dated or initialed and are in pencil and	not ink. The technician
handling evidence on #30	0115001 did not document the recovery of hair from the Q i	items as stated in the report
		•
		•
Review completed at:	4:00 PM (Time), 11/06/01 (D	ate)
<u>.</u>		ate)
	4:00 PM (Time), 11/06/01 (D ing review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.25 hr.	ate)
Fotal time spent conducti	ing review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.25 hr.	
Fotal time spent conducti		
nereby certify that I condu	ing review (to nearest 1/4 hour):  0.25 hr.  ucted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and the	
Fotal time spent conducti	ing review (to nearest 1/4 hour):  0.25 hr.  ucted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and the	hat the results of my reviev
Fotal time spent conducti	ing review (to nearest 1/4 hour):  0.25 hr.  ucted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and the	

Page 3 of 3 Initials: SW